## Approved For Release 2004/12/22: CIA-RDP82M00591R000200160024-8

# DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

SECOM-D-638

14 September 1979

Minutes of the 4th Meeting
USIB-D-9.1/20 Physical Security Standards
for Compartmented Information

	1. Minutes of meeting held in Room 3D3103, CIA Head- quarters Building on 17 July, 1979. Present were:
STATINTL	- Community Security Group Robert B. Cameron - Navy
STATINTL	Joseph E. Rosetti - State - NSA Chuck Trombetta - Justice
STATINTL	Charles R. Lock - Army  DIA  Elizabeth A. Hall - AFIS/INSC  John P. Glenday - AF/OSI
STATINTL	Robert R. O'Toole - AFIS (USAF)  CIA  Jim Kiel - FBI  STATINTL
STATINTL	2advised that he made a trip to the west coast in August to discuss physical security standards with several contractors. Discussions were held with the following con-
STATINTL	tractors:  The following comments and complaints were received.
	<ol> <li>No uniform standards exist among the agencies or within the agencies.</li> </ol>

uniformly.

Approved For Release 2004/12/22-01A-RDP82M00591R000200160024-8

2. Regulations that do exist are not applied

3. In some cases security is a direct cost and in other cases it is overhead, no firm policy exists.

## Approved For Belease 2004/12/22 : CIA-RD-02/M00394R000200160024-8

- 4. Two man rule is expensive and is not effective.
- 5. The current, expensive, security procedures do not prevent compromise. (Each time a disloyal employee compromises or sells information to the opposition, more stringent physical security standards are imposed and the real cause of the problem, personnel security, is not addressed.)
- 6. Threat information is not disseminated to the contractors and therefore it is difficult to motivate and train the security forces in the proper manner.
- 7. Security costs are higher for than for thus tending to make companies noncompetitive in the world because of high overhead costs.
- 8. Cinderblock walls with the centers filled with concrete and 5/8" rebar 6" on centers, that were once accepted as vaults, are no longer being accepted.
- 9. A clear definition is needed of a "vault" and "open storage."
- 10. Alarm standards are outdated and should be revised definite testing procedures are needed as well as an equipment list.
- 11. The definition of a guard needs to be improved and a distinction made when guards are to be armed. (Corporate policy, state laws, cost and type of protection needed impact the firearms question.) Can foreign nationals be used as guards, if so, under what conditions?
- 12. Physical protection, sound attenuation and visual protection for windows needs to be better defined.
- 13. Tempest regulations should be more definitive; including types of equipment that should be covered.
  - 14. Duct trap regulations should be reviewed.
  - 15. Char force regulations.
- 16. Waivers are generally not viewed favorably by contractors because some agencies will not grant them.
- 17. Control of electronic emanations should be better defined.

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : QIA-RDP82M00591R000200160024-8

#### Approved For Release 2004/12/22/10/80 PS 18000200160024-8

- 18. Physical security costs are not justified and there is no written policy on changes.
- 19. Standards should be coordinated with OSHA, there have been some problems in this area.
  - 20. Need a better definition of the two man rule.
- 21. Need to get a risk analysis out to the security officers.
- 22. Need more emphasis on personnel security, security awareness and a security education program.
- 23. Suggested than an industrial representative be on the committee.
- 3. The contractors recommended that a uniform set of specifications, acceptable to the Intelligence Community be written and disseminated. If an agency desired, waivers could be granted as appropriate. If more stringent security measures were required for a particular project or contract then those items which exceed the standards will be a direct cost to the contract.

STATINTL

STATINTL

- 4. advised that he thought it would be highly desirable to get a uniform set of physical security standards that would be used by the Community and that such a set of standards would result in a net savings for the government.
- 5. The first portion of Section II, a draft submitted by \_\_\_\_\_ of NSA was reviewed. Suggested changes were recorded and will be incorporated in the first draft.
- 6. The next meeting will be held on October 16 at 2:00 p.m. in the same location, room 3D3103, CIA Headquarters Building.
- 7. Attached are written comments submitted by one of STATINTL the contractors concerning Physical/Technical Security.

Attachment

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt